

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/996,467

Applicant(s)

ZAJAC, BOHDAN

Examiner

Callie E. Shosho

Art Unit

1714

All Participants:

(1) Callie E. Shosho.

(2) Lynn Cargill.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 9 June 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

15

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the ~~Notice of Allowability~~ *Part II above*.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Ms. Cargill in order to (i) insert continuity information in the specification and (ii) more clearly define claim 15 by amending the claim to reflect that the coating composition contains water. Support for amendment to claim 15 is found throughout the present specification as well as the original claims.